

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/812,446	MCGUIRE ET AL.
	Examiner Matthew J. Smith	Art Unit 3672

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Matthew J. Smith, examiner. (3) _____

(2) Lloyd Farr, attorney. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 19 October 2006

Time: 11:45

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n.a.

Claims discussed:

1 and 24

Prior art documents discussed:

n.a.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Smith contacted Mr. Farr to suggest language for an examiner's amendment. Claim 1 was not acceptable to Primary Examiner Gay with the recitation of the word "it". Changes to claim 1 eliminating use of "it" made claim 1 in condition for allowance. Claim 24 lacked antecedent basis for "the first mandrel" and changing "the" to --a-- made claim 24 in condition for allowance. Mr. Farr provided Examiner Smith with an amendment to claims 1 and 24 to make the claims in condition for allowance